

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/856,627 | 05/24/2001 | Nobuaki Hashimoto | 109609 | 3172 | |
| 25944 | 7590 11/19/2002 | | | | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC | | | INER | | |
| P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDRI | 28 A, VA 22320 | | SOWARD, IDA M | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2822 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002 | DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 1 | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 09/856,627 | HASHIMOTO | · | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| TI MAN NO DATE OF | Ida M Soward | 2822 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | 4.0000 | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 August 2002</u> . | | | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in Application | on No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Applicant's amendment filed on August 8, 2002.

Specification

The objection to the disclosure has been withdrawn due to the amendment filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection to claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, has been withdrawn due to the amendment filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salatino (5,117,282).

Salatino teaches an interconnect substrate **61** over which an interconnect pattern is formed, comprising: a first portion **21-**; and a second portion **22-** to be superposed on the first portion, wherein the first portion has an end part as a positioning reference;

Application/Control Number: 09/856,627

Art Unit: 2822

wherein the second portion has a shape so as to be superposed on and inside the first portion except the end part 25; the end part as the positioning reference includes two edges that are perpendicular to each other; the first portion comprises a rectangular body section and a projected section 111 which extends from at least one edge of the body section and includes the end part (Figures 1-5); the projected section is a region determined by: an edge which is a boundary between the projected section and the body section; a first edge which is perpendicular to the edge as a boundary; and a second top edge which is parallel to the edge as a boundary, wherein the end part as a positioning reference includes the first and second edges: the body section 27 of the first portion includes an edge having no projected section; and wherein the second portion is disposed adjacent to the edge having no projected section; the second portion has a depressed section 24 facing the projected section of the first portion; the first portion has a plurality of the end parts 23 & 25 as positioning references; and wherein at least one of the end parts is formed from an area in the body section other than an area from which the projected section extends; the first portion (which includes the middles section of Figure 1) is larger than the second portion, and the two edges which are perpendicular to each other form a corner section of the first portion; the first portion has a depressed end part (area 23) including the two edges which are perpendicular to each other and have an right angle; a plurality of holes 18 & 19 formed in end parts; the second portion continuously extends form the first portion; the second portion is separated from the first portion (Figure 1); and wherein the first and second portions are connected by the interconnect pattern (Figure 4); a semiconductor device comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/856,627

Art Unit: 2822

at least one semiconductor chip 69; and a substrate 61 which has a first portion and a second portion to be superposed on the first portion, and on which the semiconductor chip is mounted, wherein the first portion includes an end part as a positioning reference; and wherein the second portion has a shape so as to be superposed inside the first portion and avoid being superposed over the end part of the first portion; and a plurality of external terminals 83 are provided in the first portion. In regard to the functional language "as a position reference", claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "Apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). In regard to claims 18-20, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC); and most recently, In re Thorpe et al., 227 USPQ 96 (CAFC, 1985) all of which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or no. Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear. As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP 2113. However, Salatino

Art Unit: 2822

fails to teach an electronic instrument provided with the semiconductor device. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an electronic instrument with the semiconductor structure of Salatino in order to utilize the integrated circuit devices.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 8, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the argument on page 4, Salatino does teach an interconnect substrate 61 over which interconnect patterns 67, 111 are formed, includes all other limitations of claim 1; in particular, the second portion 22- having a shape so as to be superposed on and inside the first portion 21-.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/856,627

Art Unit: 2822

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 703-305-

3308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318

for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

ims

November 12, 2002

Page 6